Measurements of the angle φ_2 / α at B factories





FPCP 2008 Taipei, Taiwan May 5, 2008

Georges Vasseur CEA Saclay, IRFU

Outline

- Introduction
- π π modes
- p p modes
- $\rho \pi$ modes
- $a_1 \pi$ modes
- Conclusion





- The angle α can be measured from CP violating asymmetries in the interference of mixing and decays in b—u decays of the neutral B mesons.
- Dependence on $-2\beta 2\gamma \rightarrow 2\alpha$

CP violation in the interference between decay and mixing

For B decaying to f_{CP} (CP eigenstate).

$$\mathcal{A}_f(\Delta t) \equiv \frac{\Gamma_{\overline{B}{}^0 \to f}(\Delta t) - \Gamma_{B^0 \to f}(\Delta t)}{\Gamma_{\overline{B}{}^0 \to f}(\Delta t) + \Gamma_{B^0 \to f}(\Delta t)}$$



$$= S_f \sin(\Delta m \Delta t) - C_f \cos(\Delta m \Delta t)$$

$$\lambda_f = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{q} & \overline{A_f} \\ p & \overline{A_f} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & S_f \equiv \frac{2 \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_f)}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv -A_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2}{1 + |\lambda_f|^2} \\ e^{-2i\beta} & C_f \equiv \frac{1 - |\lambda_f|^2$$

May 5, 2008

G. Vasseur, CEA Saclay, IRFU FPCP 2008

4

CP asymmetry measurement





α and penguin pollution

$$A(B^{0}/\overline{B}^{0}) = S \sin \Delta m_{d} \Delta t - C \cos \Delta m_{d} \Delta t$$

$$Tree Diagram$$

$$Dependence on \beta$$

$$W^{+}$$

$$\overline{U}$$

$$W^{+}$$

$$W^{+}$$

$$\overline{U}$$

For single phase from CKM matrix

C = 0, $S = sin(-2(\gamma + \beta)) = sin2\alpha$

 $\succ \alpha$ extracted directly from S.

With additional weak phases $C \neq 0$, $S = \sqrt{1 - C^2} \sin 2\alpha_{eff}$

> More information needed to constraint α .

May 5, 2008

SU(2) Symmetry: Isospin analysis

Similar approaches for $B \rightarrow \rho \rho$ and $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$:

Two isospin relations (one for (B^0, B^+) , one for (B^0, B^-)) *M. Gronau, D. London, PRL, 65, 3381 (1990)*

$$\mathbf{A}(B^+ \to h^+ h^0) = 1/\sqrt{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}(B^0 \to h^- h^+) + \mathbf{A}(B^0 \to h^0 h^0)$$



CP asymmetries in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$



CP asymmetries in $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$



➤ Large direct CP violation seen by Belle.

≥2.1 σ difference between BaBar and Belle.

➤CP violation in the interference between mixing and decay seen by both experiments.

≻World average:

FPCP 2008

 $S_{+-} = -0.61 \pm 0.08$ $C_{+-} = -0.38 \pm 0.07$

BF in B $\rightarrow \pi\pi$ **modes**



383M BB

- ➤ "Large" BR for B⁰→ π⁰π⁰
 (tree diagram is color suppressed).
 ➤ Large fraction of penguin
- contamination.

➤ World averages:

 $BF(B^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = (5.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$ $BF(B^{0} \to \pi^{0}\pi^{0}) = (1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$ $C_{00} = -0.48 \pm 0.32$ $BF(B^{+} \to \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}) = (5.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$ $A_{\pm 0}^{CP} = 0.06 \pm 0.05$

 BaBar: PRD 75, 012008 (2007)
 227M BB

 PRD 76, 091102 (2007)
 383M BB

 Belle: PRL 99, 121601(2007)
 449M BB

May 5, 2008

G. Vasseur, CEA Saclay, IRFU FPCP 2008 11

Isospin analysis in B $\rightarrow \pi\pi$

Solution Solution Section Section \mathbf{F}_{+-} is a specific term of the section $\pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^+\pi^0$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$, and CP parameters C₊₋, S₊₋, and C₀₀.

≻6 observables, 6 unknown.

Ambiguities.
11° < α < 79° excluded at 95% C.L (Belle).
25° < α < 66° excluded at 90% C.L (BaBar).
SU(3) constraint on penguin amplitude



May 5, 2008

$B^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \rho^+$

Analysis more difficult:

- $\geq 2 \pi^0$ in the final state.
- \succ Wide ρ resonances.
- V-V decay: L=0,1,2 partial waves : Longitudinal: CP-even state.
 - Transverse: Mixed CP states.
- > Analysis based on ρ polarization.

Eventually a very efficient mode:

- \succ BF~ 5 times larger than for B→ $\pi\pi$.
- > Penguin pollution smaller than in $\pi\pi$.
- $\geq \rho$ are ~100% longitudinally polarized.
- > Almost a pure CP-even state!



Helicity Frame



CP asymmetries in $B^0\!\!\rightarrow\rho^+\,\rho^-$



➢Good agreement between BaBar and Belle.

≻World average:

$$S_{+-} = -0.05 \pm 0.17$$

 $C_{+-} = -0.06 \pm 0.13$

> For isospin analysis, need also BF for $B^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^0$:

$$BF(B^+ \to \rho^+ \rho^0) = (18.2 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-6}$$
$$f_L^{+0} = 0.912 \pm 0.045$$

Babar: PRL 97, 261601 (2006)232M BBBelle: PRD 67, 032003 (2003)85M BB

May 5, 2008



$B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$

First measurements of timedependent CP asymmetries in $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$:

Small BF for B⁰→ ρ⁰ ρ⁰
 In contrast to π⁰π⁰, decay vertex can be reconstructed (ρ⁰→ π⁺π⁻).
 Time-dependent analysis feasible.
 Measurement of C_L⁰⁰ and S_L⁰⁰

S 30 35 30 25 30 25 20 15 10 5 5.245 5.25 5.25 5.26 5.26 5.27 5.27 5.28 5.285 5.29 m_{Fs} (GeV/c²)

427M BB

 $85 \pm 28 \pm 17$ signal events 3.6 σ (syst. included)

Preliminary arXiv: 0708.1630

May 5, 2008

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{BF}(\rho^{0}\rho^{0}) &= (0.84 \pm 0.29 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-6} \\ f_{L}^{00} &= 0.70 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.05 \\ C_{L}^{00} &= 0.4 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.2 \\ S_{L}^{00} &= 0.5 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.2 \end{aligned}$$

 $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$

657M BB

New result from Belle:

➢ BF (B⁰→ ρ⁰ρ⁰) <
1.0 10⁻⁶ @ 90% C.L.
➢ Not inconsistent with BaBar result.
➢ Non resonant 4π and ρππ decays.
➢ Watch for further measurements.



Isospin analysis in B $\rightarrow \rho \rho$

> If we take $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \rho^0$ timedependent analysis from Babar, can perform a full isospin analysis.

Solution SL⁰⁰ is solved and SL⁰⁰ in $\Delta \alpha$ Measurement of CL⁰⁰: see four ambiguities

> Measurement of C_L^{00} and S_L^{00} \Rightarrow Overconstrained isospin relations

 \Rightarrow Favor one solution

Preliminary arXiv: 0708.1630



May 5, 2008

SU(3) approach in $B \rightarrow \rho \rho$

Beneke et al., Phys.Lett.B 638, 68 (2006)

Constrain the penguin contribution in $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$ using flavor SU(3) symmetry:

> Experimental constraints from $B^+ \rightarrow K^{*0} \rho^+$ assuming penguins in the two modes are related.

> Three unknowns: α , r = |P/T| et δ . > $A(\rho^+\rho^-) = Te^{i\gamma} + Pe^{i\delta}$

> The method gives a good constaint: $83.3 < \alpha < 105.8^{\circ}$ at 68% CL.

> SU(3) breaking effects taken into account.

 $BF(B^+ \to \rho^+ K^{*0}) = (9.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-6}$ $f_L = 0.48 \pm 0.08$

Babar: PRL 97, 201801 (2006)232M BB Belle: PRL 95, 141801 (2005) 275M BB



May 5, 2008

B^0 → (ρπ)⁰→ π⁺π⁻π⁰

Dominant decay B⁰→ρ⁺π⁻ is not a CP eigenstate
 Two-body Isospin analysis not viable: 5 amplitudes need to be considered
 B⁰→ ρ⁺π⁻/ρ⁻π⁺/ρ⁰π⁰ and B⁺→ ρ⁺π⁰/ρ⁰π⁺ Isospin Triangle ⇒ Isospin Pentagon.
 Better approach: Time-dependent Dalitz analysis assuming Isospin symmetry:

$$\begin{array}{l}
A(B^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}) = f_{+}A(\rho^{+}\pi^{-}) + f_{-}A(\rho^{-}\pi^{+}) + f_{0}A(\rho^{0}\pi^{0}) \\
\overline{A}(\overline{B}^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}) = f_{+}\overline{A}(\rho^{+}\pi^{-}) + f_{-}\overline{A}(\rho^{-}\pi^{+}) + f_{0}\overline{A}(\rho^{0}\pi^{0})
\end{array} f_{k} \text{ lineshape}$$

30 $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ (kin.) A. Snyder and H. Quinn, interference regs. Phys. Rev. D, 48, 2139 (1993) 25 ➤ Interference at equal masses-squared 20 gives information on strong phases m²(π⁻π⁰) between resonances. $0^+\pi^ 0^0\pi^0$ 10 \oplus 5 $0^{-}\pi^{+}$ 0 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 $m^2(\pi^+\pi^0)$ 20 May 5, 2008 G. Vasseur, CEA Saclay, IRFU **FPCP 2008**

Dalitz analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow (\rho \pi)^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$

≻World average:

 $A^{+^{-}} = -0.13 \pm 0.04$



PRD 76, 012004 (2007) 375M BB



PRD 77, 072001 (2008) 449M BB







G. Vasseur, CEA Saclay, IRFU FPCP 2008 21

Dalitz analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow (\rho \pi)^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$







> $B \rightarrow a_1 \pi$ decay: same quark diagram as $B \rightarrow \pi \pi / \rho \rho / \rho \pi$ > High branching fraction: $BR = (33.2 \pm 3.8 \pm 3.2) \ 10^{-6}$ *PRL 97, 051802 (2006)* $BR = (29.8 \pm 3.2 \pm 4.26) \ 10^{-6}$



BELLE

> similar to B→ρπ
> Not a CP eigenstate
> Quasi-2 body approach

 $A^{+-} = -0.07 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02$ $C^{+-} = -0.10 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.09$ $S^{+-} = 0.37 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.07$ $\Delta C^{+-} = 0.26 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.07$ $\Delta S^{+-} = -0.14 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.06$

hep-ex 0706.3279

23

SU(3) symmetry : $B \rightarrow a_1 \pi / K_1 \pi / a_1 K$

Set an upper bound on α - α_{eff} or extract true α value.

► Use SU(3) symmetry ($\pi \leftrightarrow K$ and $a_1 \leftrightarrow K_1$) to extract information from $B \rightarrow K_1 \pi$ and $B \rightarrow a_1 K$ decays.

Gronau and Zupan, PRD 73, 057502 (2006)

 $> B \rightarrow a_1 K$ modes have been measured.

 $> B \rightarrow K_1 \pi$ modes are being measured. >K₁ is a mixture of K₁(1270) and $K_1(1400)$

> First bounds on α - α_{eff} are coming.



$$BF(B^{0} \to a_{1}^{-}K^{+}) = (16.3 \pm 2.9 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-6}$$
$$BF(B^{+} \to a_{1}^{+}K^{0}) = (34.9 \pm 5.0 \pm 4.4) \times 10^{-6}$$

PRL 100, 051803 (2008)

May 5, 2008

G. Vasseur, CEA Saclay, IRFU **FPCP 2008**



383M BB

5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28 5.28

5.29

 $B \rightarrow a_1 K_s^0$

 241 ± 32

Summary on α



> The decay modes $B \rightarrow \pi\pi / \rho\pi / \rho\rho$ give consistent and complementary measurements of α .

 $> \rho \pi$ (Dalitz): disfavors mirror solution.

 \triangleright ρρ: efficient mode, improved with the measurement of C₀₀ and S₀₀ (to be confirmed).

- > New result from $B \rightarrow a_1 \pi$ is coming.
- ➤ The combined average is in good agreement with global CKM fits.

May 5, 2008