Flavor Physics Beyond the Standard Model

Michele Papucci Princeton IAS



Taipei, May 9th 2008

Flavor physics beyond the Standard Model???



A few mirages in the past, some (real???) oasis these days, the LHC next year...





- Present status: constraining new physics models
- * the LHC is coming! Flavor BSM in the LHC era





* Is this the first signal of New Physics in a flavor obs'???

* Generic in many models (SUSY, extra dim', 4th gen', ...)

ßs measurement (cont'd)

* BUT still too early to tell... need more data!

* if CDF and DO won't, LHCb should settle it



If the discrepancy is real, what about $\Delta F=1$ b->s transitions?

- * if only one dominant new CP viol' phase in b->s transitions, it may affect both B_s oscill' and b->s hadronic penguin modes or b->sl⁺l⁻ ... correlations should be expected...
- However, present theo' uncert' on hadronic modes makes difficult to assess the presence of NP (e.g. in B->Kπ)
- * Unclear if situation will improve before LHC data will come out
- measurement of β_s and discovery of new particles at the LHC: can case be made for NP in b->s penguins?



- If lattice and exp' are ok, then NP needs to contribute ~12% of a Cabibbo-unsuppressed tree level process in the SM...
- Naive Numerology: a tree level exchange of a new particle around 400 GeV (to avoid direct TeVatron & LEP bounds) gives a contrib 20-30% of the SM with 0(1) couplings
- * Couplings cannot be much smaller than 1 !! (Difficult for gauge Yukawa couplings)





* gauge invariance -> additional states



* gauge invariance -> additional states

* very tight constraints from many other experiments

- * gauge invariance -> additional states
- * very tight constraints from many other experiments
- * Pobrescu and Kronfeld explore various possibilities:

- * gauge invariance -> additional states
- * very tight constraints from many other experiments
- * Pobrescu and Kronfeld explore various possibilities:
 - * spin-1 bosons are excluded by LEP

- * gauge invariance -> additional states
- * very tight constraints from many other experiments
- * Pobrescu and Kronfeld explore various possibilities:
 - * spin-1 bosons are excluded by LEP
 - an extra Higgs may work, but with a vev of few GeV (since O(1) couplings needed!) so can't account for the top mass

- * gauge invariance -> additional states
- * very tight constraints from many other experiments
- * Pobrescu and Kronfeld explore various possibilities:
 - * spin-1 bosons are excluded by LEP
 - an extra Higgs may work, but with a vev of few GeV (since O(1) couplings needed!) so can't account for the top mass
 - * (some) leptoquarks interactions also may work



- * gauge invariance -> additional states
- * very tight constraints from many other experiments
- * Pobrescu and Kronfeld explore various possibilities:
 - * spin-1 bosons are excluded by LEP
 - an extra Higgs may work, but with a vev of few GeV (since O(1) couplings needed!) so can't account for the top mass
 - * (some) leptoquarks interactions also may work



Not the first place where one would expect to find New Physics...

Constraining BSM physics with flavor

What did we learn?

- * New Physics can still affect many flavor and CP viol' observables at the 10-30% level (meson oscill', ...)
- * Chirality flipping observables provide the strongest constraints (b \rightarrow sy, $\mu \rightarrow$ ey, EDMs, ...)

(e.g. flavor viol' A-terms in SUSY need to be small...)

* In some cases (Bs oscill', top FCNCs, ...) large contrib' still allowed

Constraints on specific New Physics Models

Flavor expt's & BSM

* Many observables are most effective in constraining the (extended) Higgs sector:

see talks of Mescia, Haisch



charged Higgs mass (GeV/c²)

complementarity!

extra Higgses will probably not be the first particles to be discovered at the LHC

"Constraining BSM" saga...

* This year highlights:

- * Randall Sundrum (RS1) models have problems with kaon oscill' unless:
 - * some sort of MFV is implemented or
 - * an U(2) symmetry between d-s quarks is at work in the bulk or
 - * the compactification scale is >25 TeV or

*

UTFit coll., 0707.0636 Fitzpatrick et al., 0710.1869 Cacciapaglia et al., 0709.1714 Csaki et al., 0804.1954

"Constraining BSM" saga...

* This year highlights:

- * Top-Bottom-Tau unification in SUSY GUTs is disfavored by b->sy Altmannshofer et al., 0801.4363
- * In SUSY, because both D and K mixing are measured, the 1st and 2nd gen' squarks cannot be naturally split by more than ~15% unless they are out of LHC reach

Nir, 0708.1872

Minimal Flavor Viol' news

- MFV can be richer (and not appear as MFV in low energy expt's) in certain theories
- * MFV: all the flavor info is encoded in the Yukawa at AMFV
- * at low energy all the masses and couplings are functions of Y_u, Y_d in flavor space (e.g. in SUSY m_{ij}=f_{ij}(Y_u,Y_d))
- Usual case: Taylor expansion of these functions in Y_{u,d} up to first order





EWSB

MFV news cont'd * In some cases one cannot capture the main effects by Taylor expanding to linear order (e.g. strongly coupled NP sector)

* the full f_{ij}(Y_u,Y_d) may give flavor viol' "beyond" MFV (but addt'l sources of flavor and CP viol' are still functions of the Yukawas)

* general formalism in Feldmann Mannel 0801.1802

* e.g.: 50 MFV in RandallSundrum = 40 NMFV

Fitzpatrick et al. 0710.1869

 more examples needed to understand the general features

Flavor physics @ the LHC



Flavor physics @ the LHC

t

t



Top FCNCs possible in extensions of the SM and still allowed by Bfactories in RH sector

Fox, Ligeti, P, Perez, Schwartz 0704.1482

LHC: 1 ttbar pair / sec will greatly improve on the present bounds:

channel	$t \to Zu(c)$	$t \to \gamma u(c)$	$t \rightarrow gu(c)$		
			(3 jets)	(4 jets)	(combined)
upper limit on BR $(L = 10 \text{ fb}^{-1})$	3.4×10^{-4}	6.6×10^{-5}	1.7×10^{-3}	2.5×10^{-3}	1.4×10^{-3}
upper limit on BR $(L = 100 \text{ fb}^{-1})$	6.5×10^{-5}	1.8×10^{-5}	5.0×10^{-4}	8.0×10^{-4}	4.3×10^{-4}

(Carvalho, Castro, Onofre, Veloso 2005)

u.c

Flavor physics @ the LHC

- * LHC (hopefully) will find new particles
- after the champagne: measure their properties: mass, some of their decay modes, spin (?), production xsect' (?), ...
- * if these new particles interact directly with quark and leptons, what about their flavor properties?

Probing flavor directly at high-pT?

Flavor @ high-Pt

- * Hot topic: is flavor directly accessible at high-pT?
- * In the quark sector non trivial:
- * access to top, bottom, charm flavor only (Efficiencies?) can look for 3rd gen' vs. 2nd+1st gen'
- * Mass resolution? Which mass splittings can be probed?

Flavor @ high-Pt

- * Hot topic: is flavor directly accessible at high-pT?
- * In the lepton sector easier to tag flavor
- * If NP only interacts with leptons and SU(2)xU(1) gauge bosons -> prod xsect are small... cascades from colored particles?

Simple question: can we test MFV?

- Hardest to answer: MFV can be disproved or made plausible, but very difficult to confirm
 - * mass splittings controlled by Yukawas -> very small,
 - * most BR's controlled by CKM -> Cabibbo suppr'

* Model dip' answer!

- * some info gained from measuring the spectrum, xsects and BR's Grossman et al., 0706.1845
- * if some decay are kinematically forbidden one may probe some flavor viol' decays (e.g. $\tilde{t} \rightarrow c\chi_0$)

Hiller & Nir, 0802.0916

Learning about the flavor symm' of NP in high-pT

- * Many studies in progress (mostly based on SUSY):
- * build viable SUSY scenarios with non negligible flavor violation
- * focus on LHC phenomenology

Sflavor viol' @ LHC

* Build SUSY flavor models (i.e. flavor sym' determine both Yukawas and sparticle masses at high scale)

* "Dilute" SUSY flavor viol' with:

MSSM)

* flavor blind SUSY breaking (gauge med') at a lower scale Nomura P & Stolarski, 0712.2074,

* Heavy Dirac gaugino masses (going beyond the

Kribs et al. 0712.2039

* Sizable flavor non-universalities @ LHC possible!!

Sflavor viol' cont'd

* In the squark case look for decays into 3rd gen' vs. lst+2nd generation quarks

- * will probe 31 and 32 contrib (the one relevant for b->s)
- * 12 contrib to BR's impossible to tell apart (except for charm), mass diff already constrained to be small: Kaon physics may play an important role
- * Best way to go in MSSM: slepton flavor viol'
- * sleptons spectrum and BR's may contain useful info' on flavor symmetries

E.g.: Flavor properties from spectrum

* Measuring mass differences already gives a lot of info on flavor structure:

* e.g. for RH slepton:

MFVU(1) sym'wave funct. profiles,(splitting prop' to
Yukawas)(all splittings can be
0(1))non-abelian sym', ...
(one splitting can be 0(1) >> the other)

stau lightest any flavor can be the lightest!

moreover: very distinct signatures if smuon, selectron are the lightest!!

Flavor effects on NP searches

- * The presence of flavor violation can alter the LHC searches by altering decay modes and production xsect, ...
- * Need to be taken into account:
 - * can complicate SUSY analyses (usually done in MFV limit) with more complex decay chains

Hurth et al., ph/0311075

* can modify the discovery potential of some Dittmaier et al., 0708.0940

Conclusions

- Flavor and CP viol' poses important constraints on physics beyond the SM
- * sizable room in the exp and theo uncertainties still exists for NP to hide
- LHC (hopefully) will find new particles and study some of their properties (becoming a "flavor" exp' in the long run)
- * Interplay between indirect and direct searches may provide addt'l info (both on NP and on theo uncert')
- Probing directly flavor properties of new particles in high-pT events may provide useful info on the flavor puzzle