# Wy and Zy Production at ATLAS



PSROC 2011 January 25<sup>th</sup>-27<sup>th</sup>, 2011

> Song-Ming Wang Academia Sinica

#### **ATLAS Collaborations**



# Introduction

TGC

- In Standard Model (SM) non-abelian nature of  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ allow gauge bosons to interact with one another
  - •Coupling between 3 gauge bosons ⇒ Triple Gauge-Boson Coupling (TGC)
- The study of these gauge couplings can be performed through the measurement of di-boson productions
- At LHC di-boson can be produced through :



• Measurement of di-boson productions at LHC provides an important test of high energy behavior of EWK interactions, and is an key milestone for initial physics program

# **Gauge Couplings**

- SM only allows charged coupling (WWZ,WWγ), does not allow pure neutral coupling (ZZZ, ZZγ, Zγγ, γγγ) since Z/γ has no charge nor weak isospin
- Physics beyond SM can introduce anomalous TGC which may allow neutral couplings, or increased the charged TGC coupling strength
- Effective Lagrangians which characterized the charged and neutral TGC, introduced a few anomalous coupling parameters (assuming C,P symmetry conservation and QED gauge invariance)

#### **Charged TGC:**

### **Neutral TGC:**

• 
$$\lambda_{\gamma}$$
 ,  $\lambda_{Z}$ 

•  $\Delta \kappa_{\gamma} = \kappa_{\gamma} - 1$ ,  $\Delta \kappa_{Z} = \kappa_{Z} - 1$ ,  $\Delta g_{1}^{Z} = g_{1}^{Z} - 1$ 

•SM at tree level:  $\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_Z = \Delta \kappa_{\gamma} = \Delta \kappa_Z = \Delta g^{Z_1} = 0$ 

• 
$$f_{4}^{Z}$$
,  $f_{5}^{Z}$ ,  $f_{4}^{Y}$ ,  $f_{5}^{Y}$ 

•SM at tree level:  $f_{4}^{Z} = f_{5}^{Z} = f_{4}^{Y} = f_{5}^{Y} = 0$ 

### **Gauge Couplings**



- Each diboson production can probe one or more TGC:
  - W $\gamma$  : WW $\gamma$  vertex
  - $Z\gamma$  :  $ZZ\gamma$ ,  $Z\gamma\gamma$  vertex
- Measures the anomalous coupling parameters

- Presence of anomalous TGC could enhance diboson production rate, particularly at high transverse momentum of bosons
- Search for new physics through measuring the anomalous TGCs



### **Diboson and Searches**



•Diboson ( $W\gamma$ , $Z\gamma$ ) faking new physics signatures :

- $W^{\pm}\gamma \rightarrow l^{\pm}\nu\gamma \rightarrow l^{\pm}\nu l^{+}l^{-}$   $Z\gamma \rightarrow l^{+}l^{-}\gamma \rightarrow l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}$
- Photon conversion leads to same-sign multi-lepton signature
- Background to searches for new physics in this final state

 $\Rightarrow$  Important to understand these production processes !

#### **Large Hadron Collider (LHC)** pp, B-Physics, General Purpose, **CP** Violation LHC: 27 km long pp, heavy ions 100m underground ATLA • p-p collider • Design parameters: $\cdot \sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ CERN • $L_{\rm inst} = \sim 10^{34} {\rm cm}^{-2} {\rm s}^{-1}$ •2010 operation: $\cdot \sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ • $L_{\rm inst} = \sim 10^{32} {\rm ~cm^{-2} ~s^{-1}}$ ALICE CMS Heavy ions, pp Width: 22m Diameter: 15m **+TOTEM** General Purpose, pp, heavy ions

#### **ATLAS Detector and Luminosity**



# Wy, Zy Production at Hadron Colliders

Predicted cross sections :

Diboson	√s=1.96 TeV	√s=7 TeV		
mode	σ(ppbar) [pb]	σ(pp) [pb]		
$W^{\pm}\gamma$	19.3*	69.0** -		
Ζγ	4.7*	13.8**		

\* : E<sub>T</sub>(
$$\gamma$$
)>7 GeV,  $\Delta(l,\gamma)$ >0.7 ,  $l=e$  or  $\mu$ 

**\*\*** :  $E_T(\gamma) > 10$  GeV,  $\Delta(l,\gamma) > 0.5$ , l=e or  $\mu$ 

### Measured cross sections :

Diboson	√s=1.96 TeV	√s=7 TeV
mode	σ(ppbar) [pb]	σ(pp) [pb]
$W^{\pm}\gamma$	18.0±2.8* (CDF, 1.1 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	?
	14.8±2.1* (D0, 0.16 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	
Ζγ	$4.6\pm0.5^{*}$ (CDF, 1.1 fb <sup>-1</sup> (ee), 2.0 fb <sup>-1</sup> (µµ))	?
	4.96±0.42* (D0, 1.1 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	

• Production rate at LHC is ~3 times of Tevatron

• Greatly enhance detection sensitivity to anomalous triple-gauge-boson couplings



# **Wy Production**

- •Perform measurement in e,  $\mu$  decays
- •Final state consists:
  - •High  $p_T$  isolated e,  $\mu$
  - •Isolated  $\gamma$
  - •Missing  $E_T$  due to escaping v
- •Main background:
  - •W+jets : jet fakes as  $\gamma$
  - •Z(ee, $\mu\mu$ )+ $\gamma$ /jet (one lepton not Id, jet mis-Id as  $\gamma$ )
  - t-tbar
    - $E_T(\gamma)$  from FSR drops rapidly after ~40 GeV , limited by the momentum carries by the lepton
    - Higher  $E_T(\gamma)$  region is dominated by the ISR and WW $\gamma$  vertex

### **Wy Production**





## **Understanding Physics Objects**

•Physics objects in W $\gamma$ , Z $\gamma$  measurements that need to be well understood are :

- •Leptons : e,  $\mu$
- •Missing  $E_T$
- •Photon
- •Inclusive W and Z productions are :
  - •Standard candles for calibrating the detector
    - •Z→l<sup>+</sup>l<sup>-</sup>:
      - Measure the EM energy scale of calorimeter and the momentum scale/resolution of tracks
      - Measure the lepton identification and trigger efficiencies
    - W $\rightarrow$ lv :
      - Calibrate high pt lepton, study Missing E<sub>T</sub> performance
  - •Major background in the Wy, Zy measurements

### **Electron Identification**





#### Identification Types:

- Loose : cut on hadronic leakage, shower shapes in 2nd EM sampling
- **Medium** : cut on shower shapes in 1st sampling, cluster/track match
- **Tight** : cut on threshold of transition radiation tracker (TRT), track quality, conversion veto (ID efficiency ~75%)



### **Electron Identification**

Academia Sinica contribution in W(e,v) measurement (~315 nb<sup>-1</sup>) :  $\frac{\text{JHEP 12} (2010) 060}{\text{CERN-PH-EP-2010-037}}$ 

### **Electron Identification & Trigger efficiency :**

- Common method use "Tag-&-Probe" method on Z→ee decay to select high purity electron sample
  - •Disadvantage : low statistic, low Et range of electron
- •A.S. employed alternative method to extract high purity electron sample :
  - •Use events passing high missing  $E_T$  trigger
  - •Apply topology cuts (e.g.  $\Delta\phi(MET, jet)$ ) to reduce QCD di-jet background
  - •Require loose match between track and EM cluster
    - •Select high pt electrons from W decay
    - •Selection has little bias to the identification cuts that we want to study
  - •Advantage : higher statistic, higher reach in Et of electron
  - •Disadvantage : not as pure as "Tag-&-Probe" on  $Z \rightarrow$  ee decay
  - •Main measurement of the electron ID efficiency (~5% systematic uncertainty)

### **Muon Identification**

- Several muon identification available
- Most commonly used algorithm in high pt analyses requires
  - •matching of an inner detector track to a muon segment found in the muon spectrometer
  - •Deposit a minimum ionizing particle like signature in the calorimeter
- Id efficiency : ~89%







### **Photon Identification**



Fragmentation

#### γ Reconstruction :

- Require small hadronic leakage and narrow energy profile
- Cut on variables to discriminate single  $\gamma$  from near by showers (e.g. remove  $\pi^0$ )



• Identification efficiency  $>\sim 80\%$  for Et( $\gamma$ )>25 GeV

### **Photon Identification**

Academia Sinica contribution in direct photon measurement:

- Developed a 2-D side band method to estimate fraction of background faking isolated proton photon in signal region
- Assume isolation profile for background is the same for tight and non-tight regions
- Correct for leakage of signal into control regions (B,C,D)





Isolation [GeV]

### **Missing E<sub>T</sub> Reconstruction**

•Missing  $E_T (E_t^{miss})$  is constructed from energy deposited in all calorimeter cells

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm x}^{\rm miss} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm cell}} E_i \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i ,\\ E_{\rm y}^{\rm miss} &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm cell}} E_i \sin \theta_i \sin \phi_i ,\\ E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} &= \sqrt{(E_{\rm x}^{\rm miss})^2 + (E_{\rm y}^{\rm miss})^2} , \end{split}$$

- Measurement is corrected for the presence of muons and the energy lost in the cryostat
- Event is removed in the present of bad jets

• caused by noise

- •out-of-time energy deposition in calorimeter
- Study performance of missing  $E_T$  measurement in minimum biased (fake  $E_t^{\text{miss}}$ ) and inclusive W (real  $E_t^{\text{miss}}$ ) productions





# Status of Wy, Zy Analyses

- •We perform the analyses in both electron and muon decay channels
- •At final stage of the analysis and are undergoing reviews by the collaboration
- •Have seen experimental signature of their productions







## Summary

- Academia Sinica started its first physics analyses on measuring of Standard Model processes
- $\bullet$  Experiences in earlier SM measurements have contributed to the Wy, Zy analyses
- Have observed candidate events of  $W\gamma$ ,  $Z\gamma$  production in the leptonic decay channels
- Analyses under review by the ATLAS collaboration
- Group has begun to brunch off into performing searches
- Polishing our analyses techniques to be ready for the next data collection period in March 2011 !

# BackUp

### **Expected Sensitivity**

• Expected Wy, Zy signal, backgrounds and sensitivity for 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> at  $\sqrt{s}=14$  TeV (from simulation studies : CERN-OPEN-2008-020)

Diboson mode	Signal	Background	Signal eff.	$\sigma^{signal}_{stat}$	<i>p</i> -value	Sig.
$W\gamma  ightarrow e v\gamma$	$1604\pm\!65$	$1180\pm120$	5.7% (BDT)	2.5%	significance	> 30
$W\gamma  ightarrow \mu  u \gamma$	$2166\pm88$	$1340\pm130$	7.6% (BDT)	2.1%	significance	> 30
$Z\gamma { ightarrow} e^+e^-\gamma$	$367\pm12$	$187\pm19$	5.4% (BDT)	5.2%	$1.2  imes 10^{-91}$	20.3
$Z\gamma\! ightarrow\!\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$	$751\!\pm\!23$	$429\pm43$	11% (BDT)	3.6%	$5.9 \times 10^{-171}$	27.8

#### •Anomalous TGC limits at 95% C.L., $\Lambda$ =2 TeV, ATLAS : $\sqrt{s}$ = 14 TeV, L = 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>

Diboson	Assumption	$\Delta\kappa_{\gamma}$	$\lambda_{\gamma}$
Wγ(ATLAS)		[0.26,0.07]	[-0.05,0.02]
WW(ATLAS)		[-0.088,0.089]	[-0.074,0.165]
WW+Wγ+WZ (D0, 1 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$(\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_{Z}, \Delta \kappa_{Z} = \Delta g^{Z}_{1} - \Delta \kappa_{\gamma} * \tan^{2} \theta_{W})$	[-0.29,0.38]	[-0.08,0.08]
WW (CDF, 3.6 fb <sup>-1</sup> )	$(\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_{Z}, \Delta \kappa_{Z} = \Delta g^{Z}_{1} - \Delta \kappa_{\gamma} * \tan^{2} \theta_{W})$	[-0.57,0.65]	[-0.14,0.15]
WW (LEP)	$(\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_{Z}, \Delta \kappa_{Z} = \Delta g^{Z}_{1} - \Delta \kappa_{\gamma} * \tan^{2} \theta_{W})$	[-0.105,0.069]	[-0.059,0.026]