INTRODUCTION TO NUMERICAL ANALYSIS 220190

1

Lecture 3-1: Brief on machine learning

Kai-Feng Chen National Taiwan University

ALL THOSE FANCY IDEAS…

- During past few years there were many very fancy names and ideas floating around:
	- □**Machine Learning (ML)**
	- □**Neural Network (NN)**
	- □**Deep learning (DL)**
	- □**Artificial Intelligence (AI)**
- But what is what actually?

- Since an early flush of optimism in 1950s, smaller subsets of artificial intelligence – first machine learning, then deep learning, a subset of machine learning – have created ever larger disruptions.
- And the neural network: a computing system or algorithm inspired by the biological neural networks, and is widely used in ML or DL applications.

And those terms became more and more apparent after AlphaGo beat human players…

LET'S START WITH MACHINE LEARNING…

- Quote from Wikipedia: "Machine learning is a field of computer science that gives computer systems the ability to learn (i.e. progressively improve performance on a specific task) with data, without being explicitly programmed."
- So the key idea is to let your program to "learn from data": Based on a set of data, your program can learn/train from it, and deploy your program to predict the properties of unknown data.
	- \Box If each sample is more than a single input number: a multidimensional entry, it is said to have multiple attributes.
	- \Box Used to perform studies across multiple dimensions while taking into account the effects of all variables on the responses of interest.

ML TRAINING & TESTING

■ Typical ML operation steps with **independent data samples**: Training \Rightarrow Testing (\Rightarrow Validation) \Rightarrow Deployment

PROBLEM SETTINGS IN **CATEGORIES**

- **Supervised learning** the data comes with additional features that we want to predict, as a "teacher" The common problems can be:
	- **Classification**: want to separate the data into the targeting classes based on the input attributes.
	- □ **Regression**: want to enforce the output to match of one or more continuous variables.
- **Unsupervised learning** no expected output features given to the learning algorithm, leaving it to work on its own to develop the structures in the input attributes.
	- **Clustering:** want to divid the data into groups. The groups are not known beforehand (*unlike the case for classification*).

INITIAL EXAMPLE: BINARY CLASSIFICATION

- Binary classification is to classify the elements of a given set into **two groups**. Can be implemented as a supervised learning in the context of ML problems.
- For example, separating **cats and dogs**:

INITIAL EXAMPLE: BINARY CLASSIFICATION

- Well, one should not jump too far as an initial step. We should start with something much, much simpler and can be handled easily.
- Let's practice this classical problem by separating **hand-writing zeros and ones**!

MNIST DATABASE

- The previous 0 and 1 images are collected from the famous MNIST (Modified National Institute of Standards and Technology) database.
- \blacksquare It is a database of handwritten digits that is commonly used for training various image processing systems, including ML. The data contains 60,000 training images and 10,000 testing images. Each image has been normalized to 28×28 pixels.
- The best performing convolutional neural network can recognize those testing images up to an error rate as low as 0.21% .

We will use these images thought out these 3 lectures about ML!

LOADING THE DATA

■ You can obtain the mnist.npz file from CEIBA or the lecture web:

```
import numpy as np 
mnist = np.load('mnist.npz') \Leftarrow Just use the NumPy tool to read the data in!
x_t train = mnist['x_t train']
y train = mnist['y train']
print('x shape:', x_train.shape) 
print('y shape:', y_train.shape) 
print('1st sample in x:', x_train[0]) 
print('1st sample in y:', y_train[0]) laterally laterally
x shape: (60000, 28, 28) 
y shape: (60000,) 
1st sample in x: 
[[0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]
. . . . . . 
                              [0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 18 18 18 126 136 175 26 166 255 247 127 0 0 0 0]
. . . . . . 
1st sample in y: 5 \Leftarrow The first one is '5'
                                   \Leftarrow Get the training data
                                  ⇐ The training data has 60K of 28×28 images 
                                                                 \Leftarrow 1 byte per pixel
                                                              x: input images
                                                               y: true digits
```
SHOW ME A DIGIT

SHOW ME A DIGIT (CONT.)

 \blacksquare Now let's focus on 0 and 1 only to simplify the situation:

```
import numpy as np 
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt 
mnist = np.load('mnist.npz') 
x_t train = mnist['x_train']
y_{\text{train}} = \text{mnist}['y_{\text{train}}']zero and one = x train[y_train <= 1]
fig = plt.findure(figsize=(6, 9), dpi=80)for i in range(6): 
     plt.subplot(3,2,i+1) 
     plt.imshow(zero_and_one[i], 
cmap='Greys') 
plt.show() l301-example-01b.py
                              ⇓ Pick up 0 & 1
```


PREPARING THE INPUTS?

- Remember each hand-writing digit consists of an image of 28×28=784 pixels, and each pixel is a number between 0 and 255.
- The target is to write a program to process these **784 inputs** and identify the true digit. Taking the whole 784 numbers is not a straightforward task in general!
- The most straightforward way to do this is to find some **features**, which can be used in the subsequent classification task. 28 pixels
	- Specifically selected variable describes the signature of the input data.
	- The input dimensions can be dramatically reduced.
	- This step is usually called the **feature extraction**.

PREPARING THE INPUTS? (II)

- As an example, how about if we calculate the <u>average pixel density</u> and compare them? At least this value might be quite different for the written 0 and 1, since **one uses more ink to write 0's**!
- To be simplified, let's also convert the data to a float point number and normalize them to be within [0,1]:

PREPARING THE INPUTS?

■ Maybe we can do it easier, by just calculating the average of the centered 6×8 pixels, since there is obviously **a "hole" for the 0's**?

 $\overline{0}$

 10^{-}

10

PREPARING THE INPUTS? (IV)

■ Example code to compare the distributions:

```
mnist = np.load('mnist.npz') 
x train = mnist['x_train']y<sup>\lfloor</sup>train = mnist' y\lfloortrain']
sample0 = x_train[y_train==0]/255.sample1 = x train [y train==1]/255.
all mean0 = sample0.mean(axis=(1,2))
all mean1 = sample1.mean(axis=(1,2))
center\_mean0 = sample0[:,10:18,11:17].mean(axis=(1,2))
center_mean1 = sample1[:,10:18,11:17].mean(axis=(1,2)) \leftarrow Only average the
fig = plt.findure(figsize=(12,5), dpi=80)plt.subplot(1,2,1) 
plt.hist(all_mean0, bins=50, color='y') 
plt.hist(all_mean1, bins=50, color='g', alpha=0.5) 
plt.subplot(1,2,2) 
plt.hist(center_mean0, bins=50, color='y') 
plt.hist(center_mean1, bins=50, color='g', alpha=0.5) 
plt.show() laterate the show() laterate the show() laterate the state of the state of \sim l301-example-02.py
                                           \Leftarrow Extract those "0" and \parallel" images
                                             \Leftarrow Average along x and y axes, keep the
                                                image index (axis 0)
                                                                    centered 6x8 pixels
```
PREPARING THE INPUTS?

■ Now we can extract these two features out of each image, and they actually distributed differently for 0 and 1:

SEPARATION BETWEEN 0 AND 1

In principle we can already start to separate the images by looking at the resulting distribution, e.g.:

- □ If a threshold of **0.11** is set: 93.0% of the "ones" are selected; 94.5% of the "zeros" are rejected. (*or 5.5% of the zeros are misidentified*)
- □ If a threshold of **0.16** is set: 99.8% of the "ones" are selected; 61.2% of the "zeros" are rejected. (*or 38.8% of the zeros are misidentified*)

The actual performance depends on your selected threshold.

BENCHMARK THE PERFORMANCE

- Let's reformulate the problem as selecting ones (*as signal*), and rejecting zeros (*as background*).
- \blacksquare There is generally no perfect case with 100% efficiency and 0% background contamination. In most of the cases we are dealing with a relatively high signal efficiency but with some background remaining in the end.
- \blacksquare The question is that how could we provide an proper way to benchmark the performance of your variable (and the subsequent ML tools).
- \blacksquare For binary classification, a good way to represent this feature is the **ROC curve** (receiver operating characteristic curve). Or you can rank your algorithm simply based on the chance of getting a wrong result!

BENCHMARK THE PERFORMANCE (II)

■ Let's produce such a ROC curve based on the distribution of full averaged pixel densities:

```
all_mean0 = sample0 .mean(xis=(1,2))all_mean1 = sample1_mean(axis=(1,2))thresholds = np.linspace(0.0, 0.4, 200)roc_y = np.array([(all_mean1<br>,sum() /len(\text{all} \text{mean1}) for th in thresholds])
roc_x = np.array([(all_mean0<br>th).sum() /len(all_mean0) for th in thresholds])
fig = plt.figure(figsize=(6, 6), dpi=80)
plt.plot(roc_x, roc_y, lw=3) 
plt.plot([0, 0], [1, 1], ls='--')plt.grid() 
averaged pixel densities:<br>
all_mean0 = sample0.mean(axis=(1,2))<br>
all_mean1 = sample1.mean(axis=(1,2))<br>
thresholds = np.linspace(0.0,0.4,200)<br>
roc_y = np.array([(all_mean1<th).sum()/<br>
len(all_mean1) for th in thresholds])<br>
```


Background contamination

ROC AND AUC

- The **ROC curve** illustrates the diagnostic ability of a binary classifier system as its discrimination threshold is varied.
- When the curve is "banding" away from the diagonal line, it indicates a superior performance; while the ideal curve is yield a point in the upper left corner.
- The performance can be also represented by the **AUC** (area under the curve), which can vary from 0.5 (as an uninformative classifier), up to 1.0 (ideal classifier).

INTO MACHINE LEARNING!?

- In fact we have not touched any machine learning algorithm yet! But there is nothing to surprise since preparing data is an important task for ML studies.
- Now let's practice the easiest/simplest algorithm: **Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)**, or even simpler, the **Fisher's discriminant**, by combining the multiple features into one variable:

FISHER'S DISCRIMINANT

- **Fisher's linear discriminant** is a method used in statistics, pattern recognition and machine learning to find a linear combination of features that characterizes or separates two or more classes of objects or events.
- Consider a set of observables: \overrightarrow{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots)
- For 2 different event classes, the **mean** and **covariance** of the observables are: $\overrightarrow{\mu}_{0}, \overrightarrow{\mu}_{1}, \Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}$

$$
\vec{\mu} = \langle \vec{x} \rangle \qquad \Sigma = \langle (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu}) \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{\mu})^T \rangle
$$

■ The separation *S* is given by $S=% {\textstyle\sum\nolimits_{j\in N(i)}} e_{j}e_{j}$ $\frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{w}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mu}_1-\overrightarrow{w}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mu}_0)^2$ $\overrightarrow{w}^T \Sigma_1 \overrightarrow{w} + \overrightarrow{w}^T \Sigma_0 \overrightarrow{w}$

The optimal weights can be determined by maximizing the *S*:

$$
\overrightarrow{w} \propto (\Sigma_0 + \Sigma_1)^{-1} (\overrightarrow{\mu}_1 - \overrightarrow{\mu}_0)
$$

FISHER'S DISCRIMINANT (II)

■ So all we need to do is to calculate the **mean** and the **covariance** of the input features, and NumPy has the functionality to do it quickly!

```
sample0 = x_train[y_train==0]/255.sample1 = x_train[y_train==1]/255.var0 = np. vstack([sample0. mean(axis=(1,2)) , sample0[:,10:18,11:17].mean(axis=(1,2))]) 
var1 = np. vstack([sample1.mean(axis=(1,2)), sample1[:,10:18,11:17].mean(axis=(1,2))]) 
mu\theta = var\theta.mean(axis=1)
mu1 = var1.mean(axis=1) 
cov0 = np_{\bullet}cov(var0)cov1 = np_{\bullet}cov(var1)weight = np.dot(linalg.inv(cov1+cov0),mu1-mu0)norm = np.sqrt((weight**2).sum()weight / norm
print('Resulting weights =',weight)
                                               l301-example-03.py (partial)
                                               merge the arrays for the two features,
                                             \sqrt{\ } in the shape of (2, N)
                           \Leftarrow mean values, in shape of (2,)
                       \Leftarrow covariance matrix, in shape of (2, 2)
                                                        \Leftarrow weight calculation
```
FISHER'S DISCRIMINANT (III)

■ This is what we get:

Resulting weights = [**-0.97661612 0.2149906**]

Then the transformed discriminant will be

 $F = -0.9766 \times (full average)$ **+0.2150** × (centered average)

■ And…just plot it!

```
out0 = (var0, T*weight).sum(axis=1)200
out1 = (var1.Tweight).sum(axis=1)fig = plt.findure(figsize=(6,6), dpi=80)plt.hist(out0, bins=50, color='y') 
plt.hist(out1, bins=50, color='g', alpha=0.5) 
plt.show() l301-example-03a.py (partial)
```


WHAT DOES IT MEAN?

■ It actually makes a projection along a given axis in 2D, and it will maximize the separation power:

COMMENT: PERFORMANCE

- You may find the result looks quite good and everything seems to be too easy! But this is simply due to the fact that separation of handwriting 0 and 1 is very easy by itself.
- In such a simplified problem we have reached a failure rate of $\sim 0.7\%$ (by setting the threshold at -0.011). But remember the best algorithm can reach 0.21%, and with all 10 digits in the consideration!
- Here are a couple of failed cases:

Obviously one has to improve the algorithm further...

COMMENT: FEATURES

- You may claim, this is due to the fact that we have only include two features/variables! One should invent much more stuff and included them in the classification!
- Yes indeed it would work much better if we can, improve the features, and include more variables in the study. **Including full 768 pixels directly can be also an option** (*we will do that latter in our neural network example*), or **with some ML technique to find the features directly** (*will be discussed in our convolutional network example*).
- However, human designed features have a strong benefit: **we know what we are doing exactly**, although it may not reach its maximum power. In such a situation one can control the systematics (*if it is a worry in your study*) much better.

COMMENT: WHAT MACHINE REALLY LEARNED?

- The program we have prepared took only seconds to calculate and give us two weights in the end. **What machine actually learned in this example?**
- \blacksquare Remember the spirit of ML is that we do not tune the algorithm directly; let the algorithm to tune itself from data. So **indeed our discriminant has "learned" its two parameters from the input data.**
- \blacksquare If we go for a much more complicated algorithm in the following lecture, there will be much more parameters to tune and you may sense the "learning" part more. A deep neural network can easily take days or even weeks to train.

COMMENT: TRAINING AND TESTING

- At the beginning of this lecture, we have said that the typical ML cycle involves training, testing, and maybe another step of validation. And these tasks should be **carried out with statistically independent samples**.
- \blacksquare Indeed this should be carried out properly as we have estimate the two weights from the training samples, the performance of the discriminant should be determined from the independent testing samples rather than the same training data to **avoid bias**.
- We will *strictly* execute these steps from now on. In particular when we move to a more complex algorithm, which will generate a more significant bias by comparing the performance in training and in testing data.

INTERMISSION

- As we just stated, separating 0 and 1 is probably the easiest case. Some other cases it may not be so straightforward. For example, comparing 3 and 8:
	- 3 3 3 8 3 $10 3$ 3 3 3 3 $10 -$
- By comparing the average pixel density for these two digits, does it provide some separation power?
- If not, can you think of some simple feature to separate them?

USING THE SCIKIT-LEARN TOOL

- Surely it is more efficient to use some existing tool other than the home made code!
- Here comes the **Scikit-learn**, which is a machine learning library with Python. It features various classification, regression and clustering algorithms including support vector machines, random forests, gradient boosting, and is designed to interoperate with NumPy and SciPy. <http://scikit-learn.org/>

34

LDA WITH SCIKIT-LEARN

■ Let's repeat the simple 2D LDA study with scikit-learn tool:

```
import numpy as np 
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn.discriminant_analysis import LinearDiscriminantAnalysis 
mnist = np.load('mnist.npz') 
x_t train = mnist['x_train'][mnist['y_train']<=1]/255.
y_train = mnist['y_train'][mnist['y_train']<=1] 
x_test = mnist['x_test'][mnist['y_test']<=1]/255.
y_test = mnist['y_test'][mnist['y_test']<=1] 
x_t train = np.array([[img.mean(),img[10:18,11:17].mean()]
for img in x_train]) 
x_test = np.array([[img.mean(),img[10:18,11:17].mean()] 
for img in x_test]) 
clf = LinearDiscriminantAnalysis() 
f_{train} = clf_{\cdot}fit_{transform}(x_{train, y_{train})s_t train = clf.score(x_train, y_train)
s_test = clf.score(x_test, y_test) 
print('Performance (training):', s_train) 
print('Performance (testing):', s_test)
                                                           l301-example-04.py (partial)
                                                           \Leftarrow Prepare both training
                                                             and testing data
                                             \Leftarrow Evaluate the performance for
                                                training and testing data
                                                  \sqrt{2} import LDA from scikit-learn
                                                     \Leftarrow "training"
```
LDA WITH SCIKIT-LEARN

The output scores shows a good consistency between training and testing data.

Performance (training): **0.982945124358** Performance (testing): **0.986761229314**

■ And the transformed distribution is pretty much the same as the previous Fisher's discriminant:

-8 $fig = plt.findure(figsize=(6, 6), dipi=80)$ plt.hist(f_train[y_train==0], bins=50, color='y') plt.hist(f_train[y_train==1], bins=50, color='g', alpha=0.5) plt.show() l301-example-04.py (partial)

zeros

1000

800

600

400

200

ones

 -2

DIMENSION REDUCTION WITH LDA

- Another very common way of using LDA is to reduce the input dimensions. LDA transforms the input dimensions with linear combination of input features.
- \blacksquare In the following example we take the full 784 pixels from 3 different digits as input and transform them into two dimensions.

```
37
import numpy as np 
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt 
from sklearn.discriminant_analysis import LinearDiscriminantAnalysis 
mnist = np.load('mnist.npz') 
x_train = mnist['x_train'][mnist['y_train']>=7]/255. ← take out 7/8/9
y_train = mnist['y_train'][mnist['y_train']>=7] 
x_t train = np.array([img.reshape((784,)) for img in x_t train[:3000]])
y_train = y_train[:3000]l301-example-04b.py (partial)
                                                                                three digits
                           \begin{array}{ccc} \texttt{13000} \\ \texttt{\$} \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} \texttt{18} \\ \texttt{19} \end{array} flatten the inputs \begin{array}{ccc} \texttt{19} \\ \texttt{19} \end{array}3000 samples
```
DIMENSION REDUCTION WITH LDA (II)

clf = LinearDiscriminantAnalysis(n_components=2) $f_{train} = clf_{if_{test}} = ransform(x_{train}, y_{train})$

True "7"

True "9"

```
fig = plt . figure(figsize = (6, 6), dpi = 80)for i in range(7,10): 
     plt.scatter(f_train[:,0][y_train==i], f_train[:,1][y_train==i], 
            s=50, marker='$'+str(i)+'$', alpha=0.5)
```
 $\overline{2}$

8

plt.show() entertainment of the contract of th

■ Now each of the digits can be described by two variables, and you can see they are quite "distinguishable"!

COME BACK TO THE ORIGINAL PROBLEM…

- The LDA is separating the distributions by maximizing the distance between the classes with their mean and covariance in the consideration, as a group-wise effort.
- But since we are discussing about "classification" here, why we cannot just find a **border line** between the groups? One can even consider a non-linear border, right?

HERE COMES THE SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE

- **Support vector machines (SVM)** are supervised learning models commonly used for classification and regression analysis.
- A data point can be viewed as a p-dimensional vector, and one wants to separate the points with a (p–1)-dimensional hyperplane. There are multiple hyperplanes that might classify the data; one reasonable choice is the hyperplane that represents the largest not working working but separation, or margin, between the not optimal given two classes. X_2
- That is, in the SVM, the categories/ classes are divided by **a clear gap which is as wide as possible**.
- So usually it works good for the cases that are difficult to separate!

LINEAR SVM

■ Consider a training data set of *n* points (*vectors*):

$$
(\overrightarrow{x}_1, y_1), ..., (\overrightarrow{x}_n, y_n) \quad \text{where } y_i = \pm 1
$$

We want to find the "maximum-margin hyperplane" to separate the groups of *y***=+1** and **–1**.

■ A hyperplane can be expressed as

 $\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x} - b = 0$

where *w* is the normal vector to the hyperplane, and the parameter $b/|w|$ determines the offset of the hyperplane from the origin.

LINEAR SVM WITH HARD MARGIN

- If the training data is linearly separable, we can select two parallel hyperplanes with maximal distance/region between them (maximal "margin").
- These hyperplanes can be described by the following equations:

$$
\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x} - b = \pm 1
$$

■ We have to prevent data points from falling into the margin, thus the following constraints apply:

$$
\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_i - b \ge +1, \text{ if } y_i = +1
$$

$$
\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_i - b \le -1, \text{ if } y_i = -1
$$

LINEAR SVM WITH HARD MARGIN (II)

■ The constraints imply each data point must lie on the correct side of the margin. One can put this together to formulate an optimization problem:

> $y_i(\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_i - b) \geq 1$ for all $1 \le i \le n$ Minimize $\frac{1}{2}|w|^2$ subject to 2 $|w|^2$

■ A consequence of this geometric description is that the max-margin hyperplane is completely determined by those data points which lie nearest to it ⇒ **support vectors**.

SOFT MARGIN

SVM can be extend to the cases where the data are not fully linearly separable. In order to deal with such a situation, one can introduce "slack variables" (ξ):

$$
\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_i - b \ge +1 - \xi_i, \text{ if } y_i = +1
$$

$$
\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_i - b \le -1 + \xi_i, \text{ if } y_i = -1
$$

Surely we want the error term to be as small as possible, hence one can add an additional cost to the target function to be minimized:

Minimize
$$
\frac{1}{2}|w|^2 + C \sum_i \xi_i
$$
 subject to
\n $y_i(\overrightarrow{w} \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_i - b) + \xi_i \ge 1$
\nfor all $1 \le i \le n$ and $\xi \ge 0$

The regularization parameter C is a balance between the error term and the margin space.

USING SVM WITH SCIKIT-LEARN

- \blacksquare In this lecture we will not spend time to explain how to really solve or optimize the SVM. Instead we will use scikit-learn package directly to demonstrate how to use it.
- Let's deploy our handwriting ones versus zeros example again:

Slightly better results?

```
import numpy as np 
from sklearn import svm ⇐ just import it!
. . . . . . 
clf = svm.SVC(kernel='linear', C=1.0) 
clf.fit(x_train, y_train) 
s_t train = clf.score(x_train, y_train)
s_test = clf.score(x_test, y_test) 
print('Performance (training):', s_train) 
print('Performance (testing):', s_test) 301-example-05.py (partial)
                                                  Performance (training): 
                                                  0.992577970786
                                                  Performance (testing): 
                                                  0.994799054374
                                            ⇐ initial a SVM w/ linear kernel
            \Leftarrow data preparation part is the same
                                              take C = \vert for now
```
USING SVM WITH SCIKIT-LEARN (II)

■ Let's demonstrate the separation power of SVM directly with a "border" between the data points:

```
0.6 \cdotclf = svm.SVC(kernel='linear', C=1.0) 
clf.fit(x_train, y_train) 
                                                                       0.4fig = plt.figure(figsize=(6, 6), dpi=80)
                                                                       0.2xv, yv = np.meshgrid(np.linspace(0.,0.45,100),
np.linspace(-0.05,1.05,100)) 
                                                                       0<sub>0</sub>zv = clf.predict(np.c_{xv.read}()), yv.read()0.00  0.05  0.10  0.15  0.20  0.25  0.30  0.35  0.40  0.45
plt.contourf(xv, yv, zv.reshape(xv.shape), 
alpha=.3, cmap='Blues') 
                                       ↑ use contour to show the borders!
plt.scatter(x_train[:,0][y_train==0], x_train[:,1]
[y_t^2 + y_t^2 - 2], c = 'y', s=5, \text{alpha=0.8}plt.scatter(x_train[:,0][y_train==1], x_train[:,1]
[y_t [y_train==1], c = 'g', s=5, alpha=0.8)plt.show() and intervention of the show() laterated by the state of the state o
```
 1.0

 0.8

LINEAR SVM VERSUS LDA

■ If we also draw a border line based on our previous LDA study, it would look like this (and sufficiently different from the situation for SVM?):

Remember: LDA tends to make the average distribution away from each other, while SVM concerns more about the difficult data points near boundaries (as the supporting vectors!).

BEFORE MOVING AHEAD…

- Before moving toward the next topic, let's try to **inject all of the pixels directly into linear SVM** and see how good we can separate all of the handwriting digits at once.
- Remark: a full, seriously tuned SVM can reach a superior performance with an error rate <1.5% on MNIST data. But it may take days to run/tune the code. Here we are going to give you a simple setting, which shows you how to get a "starting point".

```
mnist = np.load('mnist.npz') 
x_train = mnist['x_train'][:10000]/255.
y_train = mnist['y_train'][:10000] 
x_test = mnist['x_test']/255.
y<sup>_</sup>test = mnist['y_test'] \Leftarrow input all 10 digits as 10 classes
x_train = np.array([img.reshape((784,)) for img in x_train]) 
x_test = np.array([img.reshape((784,)) for img in x_test])
                                                     l301-example-06.py (partial)
                                               ⇐ take only 10K images to 
                                                   speed up the training
                               ↑ flatten the inputs
                                  as 1D array
```
A FULL DIGITS SEPARATION WITH SVM

```
clf = svm.SVC(kernel='linear', verbose=True) 
clf.fit(x_train, y_train).
s_t train = clf.score(x_train, y_train)
s_test = clf.score(x_test, y_test) 
print('Performance (training):', s_train) 
print('Performance (testing):', s test)
p_test = clf.predict(x_test)fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10,10), dpi=80)for i in range(100): 
     plt.subplot(10,10,i+1) 
     plt.axis('off') 
     plt.imshow(mnist['x_test'][i], cmap='Greys') 
     c='Green'
 if y_test[i]!=p_test[i]: c='Red'
⇐ mark as red if 
plt.text(0.,0.,'$%d\\to%d$' % there is mis-tag.
(y_test[i],p_test[i]),color=c,fontsize=15) 
plt.show()
                                          l301-example-06.py (partial)
                             \Leftrightarrow this training will take a while!
                                                                an error rate \mathbb{\uparrow}of ~8.3%, still room for
                                                                improvement!
                                                optimization finished, 
                                                #iter = 2864obj = -10.419231, rho =
                                                1.347649 
                                                nSV = 133, nBSV = 0Total nSV = 2630Performance (training): 0.9969
                                                Performance (testing): 0.917
                 \triangle show the first 100 digits
```
A FULL DIGITS SEPARATION WITH SVM (II)

 $4 \rightarrow 4$ $7 \rightarrow 7$ $2\rightarrow 2$ $1 \rightarrow 1$ $1 \rightarrow 1$ $4\rightarrow 4$ $9 \rightarrow 9$ $9 \rightarrow 9$ $\frac{9}{9}$ $\mathcal{Z}_{4\rightarrow 4}$ ۹ $\frac{1}{5\rightarrow5}$ $\frac{1}{9\rightarrow 9}$ $0-0$ $0 \rightarrow 0$ $7 \rightarrow 7$ $5\overline{5}$ 6 q _{6→6} $\frac{q}{1}$ $\sum_{4\rightarrow 4}$ $\begin{array}{c} \n\downarrow \\
4 \rightarrow 4\n\end{array}$ $\mathcal{Q}_{3\rightarrow 3}$ $4\n7\n3\n7\n7\n7\n7$ 6 4 $\frac{9}{3}$ $2\rightarrow 2$ $1\rightarrow 1$ $2\atop{5\rightarrow 5}$ $\sum_{1\to 1}$ 3 $\sum_{3\rightarrow 3}$ $\frac{1}{2\rightarrow 2}$ $4 \rightarrow 4$ 3
6 \rightarrow 6 $5\over 0\rightarrow0$ L $\frac{1}{9}$ $1\rightarrow 1$ $6\n
\n7\n
\n7\n
\n7\n
\n8\n
\n6\n
\n $\sqrt{2}$ \n
\n6\n
\n $\sqrt{2}$ \n
\n $\$$ $5\overline{)}$ $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{S}\rightarrow\mathbf{S}}$ $5\atop{9\rightarrow 9}$ $\int_{4\rightarrow 4}$ $\begin{matrix}5\\0&0\end{matrix}$ $6 \rightarrow 2$ $4 \rightarrow 4$ \mathbf{S} $\sum_{9\rightarrow 9}$ 3 $\sum_{7\rightarrow7}$ $\sum_{1\to 1}$ $3\rightarrow 3$ $9\rightarrow 9$ $\frac{2}{7\rightarrow7}$ $\sum_{7\rightarrow7}$ $\frac{2}{3\rightarrow3}$ $\frac{2}{2\rightarrow 2}$ $\mathcal{F}_{4\rightarrow 4}$ $\int_{6\rightarrow 6}$ $\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 8 \rightarrow 8 \end{matrix}$ $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{1}\to\mathbf{1}}$ 6 $\frac{2}{7}$ $\sum_{1\rightarrow 1}$ 3

Only several misidentifications found in the first 100 digits! You may find the training accuracy of **99.7%** and testing accuracy of **91.7%**; such situation is a typical **overfitting/overtraining**.

We will discuss more about such symptom in the next lectures.

HOW ABOUT NONLINEAR KERNEL?

■ Can we quickly improve our tool with a non-linear method, for example, non-linear SVM? (*Sounds more powerful at least!*)

■ The idea is to transform the data with a **kernel trick** and allows the algorithm to fit the margin hyperplane in a **transformed feature space**. The classifier finds a hyperplane in the transformed space, the plane can be be nonlinear in the original space. Some common kernels:

- *Polynomial*

$$
k(\overrightarrow{x}_i, \overrightarrow{x}_j) = (\gamma \overrightarrow{x}_i \cdot \overrightarrow{x}_j + \eta)^d
$$

- *Gaussian / Radial basis function (RBF)* $k(\vec{x}_i, \vec{x}_j) = \exp(-\gamma|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|^2)$

A TOTALLY NONLINEAR CASE ■ One can easy generate some data which is obviously **NOT** linear separable at all, for example, **two doughnuts**? y_train=0 -2 -1 $\overline{2}$ $y_train = np.random.randnint(0, 2,5000)$ $rho = np.abs(np.random.randn(5000)/4.+1.+y_train)$ y_train=1 $phi = np.random.read(5000)*np.py42.$ x_t train = np.c [rho*np.cos(phi), rho*np.sin(phi)] $fig = plt.figure(figsize=(6,6), dpi=80)$ plt.scatter(x_train[:,0][y_train==0], x_train[:,1] $[y_t^2 + y_t^2 - 2]$, $c = 'y', s=5$, $alpha=0.8)$ plt.scatter(x_train[:,0][y_train==1], x_train[:,1] $[y_t$ [y_train==1], $c = 'g', s=5, alpha=0.8)$ plt.show() l302-example-07.py (partial)

NEARLY RANDOM SEPARATION?

■ If you in any case inject this "two doughnuts" data into linear SVM, it will just give you a nearly random separation:

LET'S JUST SWITCH IT WITHIN THE CODE.?

- Let's try the RBF/Gaussian kernel and see how it works?
- Now you can see it can do a very nice job by introducing a nonlinear boundary!

```
clf = svm.SVC(kernel='rbf', C=1.) 
clf.fit(x_train, y_train) 
s_t train = clf.score(x_train, y_train)
```

```
print('Performance (training):', s_train)
```
l302-example-07b.py (partial)

Performance (training): **0.9754**

Let's test this with our previous problem: **Separating handwriting digits!**

NONLINEAR SVM + DIGITS SEPARATION?

- \blacksquare Well, it improves only a little bit with the RBF kernel (~0.4%), but totally failed with polynomial kernel, why?
- This is because we still need to **tune the parameters** for those nonlinear kernels, otherwise it will not show its power.
- We will continue to discuss this in our lecture next week.

SIAY IUNED

We will continue our discussions for nonlinear methods next week!

■ **Practice data:**

There is a data of 2 features from 3 classes, stored in the l301practice.npz file (can be downloaded from CEIBA or the lecture web). The following piece of code can be used to load it:

```
import numpy as np 
data = np.load('l301practice.npz') 
x_t train = data['x_train']
y_train = data['y_train']x_t test = data['x_test']
y_t test = data['y_test']
```
The x_train, y_train contains 12000 samples, and x_test, y_test contains 6000 samples.

■ **Practice 01a:**

In the **x_train** data there are 2 different input variables (as 2 features). Please **use the scatter plot to draw them, and separating for the 3 input classes** based on the value stored in **y_train**. You may get a similar plot like this, if you take l301 example-04b.py as a template:

■ **Practice 02b:**

Take the practice data and inject them into a SVM. See how good can you separate the 3 classes with the linear kernel? Please estimate the accuracy for both training and testing data.

■ **Practice 02:**

Take the l301-example-04.py as a template code, apply the following modifications:

- Instead of separation handwriting 0 and 1, let's separate 8 and 9.
- Instead of putting in only two features, inject all 784 pixels into LDA. See how good we can separate them?

Performance (training): **0.xxxxx** Performance (testing): **0.yyyyy**